

Title of Meeting:	NY CCG Governing Body	Agenda Item: 7.2										
Date of Meeting:	7 October 2021	<table border="1"> <tr> <th colspan="2">Session (Tick)</th> </tr> <tr> <td>Public</td> <td>X</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Private</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Development Session</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			Session (Tick)		Public	X	Private		Development Session	
Session (Tick)												
Public	X											
Private												
Development Session												
Paper Title:	EPRR Annual Statement of Compliance 2021 - 2022											
Responsible Governing Body Member Lead Name: Julie Warren Title: Director of Corporate Services, Governance and Performance		Report Author and Job Title Name: Emma Parker Title: Corporate Services and EPRR Manager										
Purpose – this paper is for:	<table border="1"> <tr> <th>Decision</th> <th>Discussion</th> <th>Assurance</th> <th>Information</th> </tr> <tr> <td>X</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Decision	Discussion	Assurance	Information	X						
Decision	Discussion	Assurance	Information									
X												
Has the report (or variation of it) been presented to another Committee / Meeting? If yes, state the Committee / Meeting: Yes. Directors												
Executive Summary <p>Along with all other Category 1 and 2 NHS organisations, NHS North Yorkshire CCG is required to undertake an annual assessment of its Emergency Preparedness Resilience and Recovery arrangements. The 2021/22 assessment is due to be submitted to the locality on 29 October 2021 after approval from the Governing Body.</p> <p><u>2020/21</u> In 2020/21 a full assessment was not required due to the ongoing impact of COVID-19. A Statement of Assurance was provided instead which gave an updated EPRR Position for the CCG confirming that since the CCG's creation on 1 April 2020 it has established policies and plans in place to ensure delivery of EPRR in the new organisation. These recognised previous recommendations identified in the three predecessor CCGs' 2019/20 EPRR Statements of Compliance.</p> <p>The 2020/21 Statement of Assurance also reviewed the CCG's response to the first wave of COVID-19 and how the CCG was incorporating progress and learning into winter planning arrangements. This learning was included in 2020/21 winter planning and continues in the plans for winter 2021/22.</p> <p><u>2021/22</u> Following an assessment against all of the key measures as required for 2021/22, the CCG considers that it has achieved Substantial Compliance. The full assessment is attached for assurance. There has been a reduction in the measures compared to previous years and this has been noted by EPRR colleagues across the region.</p> <p>Where partial compliance has been identified, this has been because of a lack of EPRR processes exercising. It has been acknowledged by NHSE that organisations may be lacking in this area in their EPRR Assurance statements, however they are confident that COVID-19 has tested systems appropriately during 2021/22 and learning continues in the CCG as a result of our system response to COVID-19.</p>												

Recommendations

The Governing Body is being asking to:

Approve the attached Statement of Compliance following assurance provided by the attached assessment against EPRR Measures confirming that the CCG is Substantially Compliant.

Monitoring

Compliance with EPRR requirements is monitored through Audit and Governance Committee

CCG Strategic Objectives Supported by this Paper

CCG Strategic Objectives		X
1	Strategic Commissioning: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> To take the lead in planning and commissioning care for the population of North Yorkshire by providing a whole system approach and to support the development of general practice. To make the best use of resources by bringing together other NHS organisations, local authorities and the third sector to work in partnership on improving health and care. To develop alliances of NHS providers that work together to deliver care through collaboration rather than competition. 	
2	Acute Commissioning: We will ensure access to high quality hospital-based care when needed.	
3	Engagement with Patients and Stakeholders: We will build strong and effective relationships with all our communities and partners.	
4	Financial Sustainability: We will work with partners to transform models of care to deliver affordable, quality and sustainable services.	
5	Integrated / Community Care: With our partners and people living in North Yorkshire we will enable healthy communities through integrated models of care.	
6	Vulnerable People: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> We will support everyone to thrive [in the community]. We will promote the safety and welfare of vulnerable individuals. 	
7	Well-Governed and Adaptable Organisation: In supporting our objectives we will be a well-governed and transparent organisation that promotes a supportive learning environment.	X

CCG Values underpinned in this paper

CCG Values		X
1	Collaboration	
2	Compassion	
3	Empowerment	
4	Inclusivity	
5	Quality	X
6	Respect	

Does this paper provide evidence of assurance against the Governing Body Assurance Framework?

YES		NO	X
-----	--	----	---

If yes, please indicate which principle risk and outline

Principle Risk No	Principle Risk Outline

Any statutory / regulatory / legal / NHS Constitution implications	All NHS-funded organisations must meet the requirements of the Civil Contingencies Act 2004, the NHS Act 2006 as amended by the Health and Social Care Act 2012.
Management of Conflicts of Interest	No conflicts of interest have been identified prior to the meeting.
Communication / Public & Patient Engagement	No public or patient engagement is required for this area.
Financial / resource implications	No financial or resource implications have been identified.
Outcome of Impact Assessments completed	Not applicable

Name Emma Parker

Title Corporate Services and EPRR Manager

**Yorkshire and the Humber Local Health Resilience Partnership (LHRP)
Emergency Preparedness, Resilience and Response (EPRR) assurance 2021-2022**

STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

NHS North Yorkshire CCG has undertaken a self-assessment against required areas of the EPRR Core standards self-assessment tool v1.0.

Where areas require further action, NHS North Yorkshire CCG will meet with the LHRP to review the attached core standards, associated improvement plan and to agree a process ensuring non-compliant standards are regularly monitored until an agreed level of compliance is reached.

Following self-assessment, the organisation has been assigned as an EPRR assurance rating of Substantial (from the four options in the table below) against the core standards.

Overall EPRR assurance rating	Criteria
Fully	The organisation is 100% compliant with all core standards they are expected to achieve. The organisation's Board has agreed with this position statement.
Substantial	The organisation is 89-99% compliant with the core standards they are expected to achieve. For each non-compliant core standard, the organisation's Board has agreed an action plan to meet compliance within the next 12 months.
Partial	The organisation is 77-88% compliant with the core standards they are expected to achieve. For each non-compliant core standard, the organisation's Board has agreed an action plan to meet compliance within the next 12 months.
Non-compliant	The organisation compliant with 76% or less of the core standards the organisation is expected to achieve. For each non-compliant core standard, the organisation's Board has agreed an action plan to meet compliance within the next 12 months. The action plans will be monitored on a quarterly basis to demonstrate progress towards compliance.

I confirm that the above level of compliance with the core standards has been agreed by the organisation's board / governing body along with the enclosed action plan and governance deep dive responses.

Signed by the organisation's Accountable Emergency Officer

Date signed

07/10/2021

07/10/2021

Date of Board/governing body meeting

Date presented at Public Board

Date published in organisations Annual Report

Ref	Domain	Standard	Detail	Clinical Commissioning Group	Evidence - examples listed below	Organisational Evidence	Self assessment RAG			Comments
							Action to be taken	Lead	Timescale	
1	Governance	Senior Leadership	<p>The organisation has appointed an Accountable Emergency Officer (AEO) responsible for Emergency Preparedness Resilience and Response (EPRR). This individual should be a board level director, and have the appropriate authority, resources and budget to direct the EPRR portfolio.</p> <p>A non-executive board member, or suitable alternative, should be identified to support them in this role.</p>	Y		<p>Julie Warren - Director of Corporate Services, Governance and Performance, Board Member</p> <p>Jane Baxter - Assistant Director, Corporate Services</p> <p>Staff structure demonstrates line management of Corporate Services and EPRR Manager</p>	Fully compliant	None		
2	Governance	EPRR Policy Statement	<p>The organisation has an overarching EPRR policy statement.</p> <p>This should take into account the organisation's:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Business objectives and processes Key suppliers and contractual arrangements Risk assessment(s) Functions and / or organisation, structural and staff changes. <p>The policy should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Have a review schedule and version control Use unambiguous terminology Identify those responsible for ensuring policies and arrangements are updated, distributed and regularly tested Include references to other sources of information and supporting documentation. 	Y	<p>Evidence of an up to date EPRR policy statement that includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resourcing commitment Access to funds Commitment to Emergency Planning, Business Continuity, Training, Exercising etc. 	<p>The CCG has developed, implemented and annually reviews it's Business Continuity Plan (BCP) and Major Incident Response Plan (IRP) as part of it's EPRR planning which include a commitment to resource a CCG IRP or BCP response should this be necessary or required. The plans include details of key roles and responsibilities within a range of response scenarios.</p>	Fully compliant			
3	Governance	EPRR board reports	<p>The Chief Executive Officer / Clinical Commissioning Group Accountable Officer ensures that the Accountable Emergency Officer discharges their responsibilities to provide EPRR reports to the Board / Governing Body, no less frequently than annually.</p> <p>These reports should be taken to a public board, and as a minimum, include an overview on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> training and exercises undertaken by the organisation summary of any business continuity, critical incidents and major incidents experienced by the organisation lessons identified from incidents and exercises the organisation's compliance position in relation to the latest NHS England EPRR assurance process. 	Y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Public Board meeting minutes Evidence of presenting the results of the annual EPRR assurance process to the Public Board 	<p>EPRR Assurance presented to GB in Nov 2020</p> <p>EPRR Policy presented to GB Oct 2020</p> <p>Reports presented to Audit Committee Nov 2020 and July 2021</p>	Fully compliant			
5	Governance	EPRR Resource	<p>The Board / Governing Body is satisfied that the organisation has sufficient and appropriate resource, proportionate to its size, to ensure it can fully discharge its EPRR duties.</p>	Y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> EPRR Policy identifies resources required to fulfill EPRR function; policy has been signed off by the organisation's Board Assessment of role / resources Role description of EPRR Staff Organisation structure chart Internal Governance process chart including EPRR group 	<p>EPRR Policy identifies responsible officers in the CCG and resources allocated</p> <p>EPRR is defined in the Job Description of the Corporate Services and EPRR Manager who is line managed by the AEO and reflected in the organisation structure</p>	Fully compliant			
6	Governance	Continuous improvement process	<p>The organisation has clearly defined processes for capturing learning from incidents and exercises to inform the development of future EPRR arrangements.</p>	Y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process explicitly described within the EPRR policy statement 	<p>The EPRR describes how continuous improvement will be managed and BCPs updated in light of learning and feedback from incidents etc</p>	Fully compliant			
7	Duty to risk assess	Risk assessment	<p>The organisation has a process in place to regularly assess the risks to the population it serves. This process should consider community and national risk registers.</p>	Y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence that EPRR risks are regularly considered and recorded Evidence that EPRR risks are represented and recorded on the organisations corporate risk register 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> EPRR risks are regularly considered and recorded Evidence that EPRR risks are represented and recorded on the organisations corporate risk register - registers available Through the CCG's membership of the local A&E Delivery Board and it's membership of the Yorkshire & Humber LHRP and sub group the CCG contributes to the plans for the LHRP and sub group risk registers (updating, sharing and detailing relevant national, regional and local risks). These are reflected in the CCG's corporate risk register where relevant and applicable 	Fully compliant			
8	Duty to risk assess	Risk Management	<p>The organisation has a robust method of reporting, recording, monitoring and escalating EPRR risks.</p>	Y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> EPRR risks are considered in the organisation's risk management policy Reference to EPRR risk management in the organisation's EPRR policy document 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> EPRR risks are considered as part of Corporate Risk Review. If the risk is a 12 or above it is escalated to the corporate risk register and discussed with Governing Body. It will be included on the Governing Body Assurance Framework and formally reported to QCGC and Governing Body bi-monthly. Any sudden risk will be escalated to the SMT and AEO as it occurs and cascaded in line with the cascade system where appropriate Reference to EPRR risk management in the organisation's EPRR policy document 	Fully compliant			
11	Duty to maintain plans	Critical incident	<p>In line with current guidance and legislation, the organisation has effective arrangements in place to respond to a critical incident (as defined within the EPRR Framework).</p>	Y	<p>Arrangements should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> current (although may not have been updated in the last 12 months) in line with current national guidance in line with risk assessment signed off by the appropriate mechanism shared appropriately with those required to use them outline any equipment requirements outline any staff training required 	<p>Planning is carried out at a regional (LHRP), sub regional (A&E Delivery Board) and local level to ensure plans are developed collaboratively, consistently and shared.</p> <p>Membership of the A&E Delivery Board includes acute trusts, ambulance trust (A&E, PTS and NHS111), LA, community provider, voluntary sector, mental health service.</p> <p>Plans have been shared with Local Trust, Local Authority and NYCC and further development has occurred as a result of resilience exercises. EPRR policy states that contractually these organisations are required to ensure arrangements for business continuity and major incident response are in place and that processes are robust.</p> <p>Regular contact is maintained through AEDB, LHRP sub group and other local meetings</p>	Fully compliant			

Ref	Domain	Standard	Detail	Clinical Commissioning Group	Evidence - examples listed below	Organisational Evidence	Self assessment RAG				Comments
							Action to be taken	Lead	Timescale		
12	Duty to maintain plans	Major incident	In line with current guidance and legislation, the organisation has effective arrangements in place to respond to a major incident (as defined within the EPRR Framework).	Y	<p>Arrangements should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> current (although may not have been updated in the last 12 months) in line with current national guidance in line with risk assessment signed off by the appropriate mechanism shared appropriately with those required to use them outline any equipment requirements outline any staff training required 	NY CCG has an effective and tested 24/7 "on call" arrangement currently supported and resourced through CCG Directors and senior managers.. The 24/7 "on call" rota is included as part of our EPRR arrangements and cross referenced in our Incident Response Plan and Business Continuity Plan. The CCG on call rota (and all necessary updates) are shared and logged with NHSE Y&H and all partner organisations. The rota is planned and populated for at least 6mths in advance to increase resilience and planning certainty. The key elements of the CCG's IRP and BCP plans are exercised in respect of communications cascade (every 6mths - latest one planned in October 21). Table top exercise and live event exercise has not been undertaken in 2021/22 however response to COVID19 has systematically tested our processes effectively during the year	Partially compliant				Undertake Desk tope exercise and live event
13	Duty to maintain plans	Heatwave	In line with current guidance and legislation, the organisation has effective arrangements in place to respond to the impacts of heatwave on the population the organisation serves and its staff.	Y	<p>Arrangements should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> current (although may not have been updated in the last 12 months) in line with current national guidance in line with risk assessment signed off by the appropriate mechanism shared appropriately with those required to use them outline any equipment requirements outline any staff training required 	NYCCG is a member of the A&E Delivery Board (and also it's local SRG). We will utilise our existing communications systems established and tested during the summers of 2013/14 to 2021/22 in supporting routine public and staff communications (including general media). Communications will cover general advice and also any required actions during and following declaration of any heatwave related surge or incident. Any necessary refresh and/or updates (following heat related surge or incident) will be recorded including any actions required. This will be supported by ongoing liaison and integration with other SRG and/or A&E Delivery Board partner organisations to maintain consistency of message and approach. Formalisation of this process is included in the CCG's Business Continuity Plan and Incident Response Plan.	Fully compliant				
14	Duty to maintain plans	Cold weather	In line with current guidance and legislation, the organisation has effective arrangements in place to respond to the impacts of snow and cold weather (not internal business continuity) on the population the organisation serves.	Y	<p>Arrangements should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> current (although may not have been updated in the last 12 months) in line with current national guidance in line with risk assessment signed off by the appropriate mechanism shared appropriately with those required to use them outline any equipment requirements outline any staff training required 	NYCCG is a member of the A&E Delivery Board (and also it's local SRG). We will utilise our existing communications systems (and supported by the NY Communications team) established and tested during the winters of 2013/14 to 2020/21 in supporting routine public communications (including general media strategy). The communications will include general advice and also any required actions/comms during and following the declaration of any cold weather related surge or incident. Any necessary refresh and/or updates (following cold weather related surge or incident) will be recorded including any further or future actions required. This will be supported by ongoing liaison and integration with other SRG and A&E Delivery Board partner organisations to maintain consistency of message and approach. Formalisation of this process is included in the CCG's Business Continuity Plan and Incident Response Plan.	Fully compliant				
18	Duty to maintain plans	Mass Casualty	In line with current guidance and legislation, the organisation has effective arrangements in place to respond to mass casualties. For an acute receiving hospital this should incorporate arrangements to free up 10% of their bed base in 6 hours and 20% in 12 hours, along with the requirement to double Level 3 ITU capacity for 96 hours (for those with level 3 ITU bed).	Y	<p>Arrangements should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> current (although may not have been updated in the last 12 months) in line with current national guidance in line with risk assessment signed off by the appropriate mechanism shared appropriately with those required to use them outline any equipment requirements outline any staff training required 	The CCG is a Category 2 responder and as such has a supporting role in such incidents or events. The CCG has developed and adopted a Major Incident Plan, including defined roles and responsibilities for staff. The Plan is reviewed and assured annually through the CCGs Audit & Governance Committee and CCG's Governing Body. In the event of a mass casualty event the CCG would attend the most relevant ICC and support NHSE Y&K or NHSE NE and it's partner organisations in responding to the event/incident.	Fully compliant				
20	Duty to maintain plans	Shelter and evacuation	In line with current guidance and legislation, the organisation has effective arrangements in place to shelter and/or evacuate patients, staff and visitors. This should include arrangements to shelter and/or evacuate, whole buildings or sites, working in conjunction with other site users where necessary.	Y	<p>Arrangements should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> current (although may not have been updated in the last 12 months) in line with current national guidance in line with risk assessment signed off by the appropriate mechanism shared appropriately with those required to use them outline any equipment requirements outline any staff training required 	NY CCG has developed and adopted an Evacuation plan as part of it's CCG Business Continuity Plan which has not been tested in 2021/22 due to COVID Restrictions	Partially compliant				Undertake evacuation exercise when staff return to offices
24	Command and control	On-call mechanism	A resilient and dedicated EPRR on-call mechanism is in place 24 / 7 to receive notifications relating to business continuity incidents, critical incidents and major incidents. This should provide the facility to respond to or escalate notifications to an executive level.	Y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process explicitly described within the EPRR policy statement On call Standards and expectations are set out Include 24 hour arrangements for alerting managers and other key staff. 	NY CCG has an effective and tested 24/7 "on call" arrangements currently provided by directors and senior managers. The 24/7 "on call" rota is referred to in our Incident Response Plan and Business Continuity Plans and is logged with NHSE and all (and A&E Delivery Board) stakeholders and partner organisations. The rota is planned and populated for at least 6mths in advance to increase resilience and planning certainty.	Fully compliant				
30	Response	Incident Co-ordination Centre (ICC)	The organisation has Incident Co-ordination Centre (ICC) arrangements	Y		NY CCG does not have a separately identified ICC as this is not considered proportionate or necessary to our role as a Category 2 responder in responding to an incident. NY CCG would be represented at an ICC (likely to be sited at a local acute trust or within Yorkshire Ambulance Service) to respond to a major incident. This eventuality is included in our CCG Incident Response Plan. The CCG currently has five trained loggists (NHSE accredited course) able to attend (in hours) and support any incident in this role.	Fully compliant				

Ref	Domain	Standard	Detail	Clinical Commissioning Group	Evidence - examples listed below	Organisational Evidence	Self assessment RAG				
							Action to be taken	Lead	Timescale	Comments	
							Red (not compliant) = Not compliant with the core standard. The organisation's EPRR work programme shows compliance will not be reached within the next 12 months.				
							Amber (partially compliant) = Not compliant with core standard. However, the organisation's EPRR work programme demonstrates sufficient evidence of progress and an action plan to achieve full compliance within the next 12 months.				
							Green (fully compliant) = Fully compliant with core standard.				
32	Response	Management of business continuity incidents	In line with current guidance and legislation, the organisation has effective arrangements in place to respond to a business continuity incident (as defined within the EPRR Framework).	Y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Business Continuity Response plans 	NY CCG, as part of the development of its Business Continuity Plan (BCP), has identified its critical activities and necessary mitigations to ensure these activities can continue including the necessary steps/actions required. Contingency arrangements have not been tested in 2021/22 however they were reviewed in 2019 (Q4) by Audit Yorkshire who provided "significant assurance" on our plans.	Fully compliant				
34	Response	Situation Reports	The organisation has processes in place for receiving, completing, authorising and submitting situation reports (SitReps) and briefings during the response to business continuity incidents, critical incidents and major incidents.	Y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Documented processes for completing, signing off and submitting SitReps 	NY CCG "on call" arrangement, is supported by the Head of Acute Commissioning who would receive situation reports, undertake any necessary assessment and provide a cascade, briefing or actions as necessary. The 24/7 "on call" rota is referenced in our Incident Response Plan and Business Continuity Plans and is logged with NHSE and our A&E Delivery Board and stakeholder organisations.	Fully compliant				
37	Warning and informing	Communication with partners and stakeholders	The organisation has arrangements to communicate with partners and stakeholder organisations during and after a major incident, critical incident or business continuity incident.	Y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Have emergency communications response arrangements in place Social Media Policy specifying advice to staff on appropriate use of personal social media accounts whilst the organisation is in incident response Using lessons identified from previous major incidents to inform the development of future incident response communications Having a systematic process for tracking information flows and logging information requests and being able to deal with multiple requests for information as part of normal business processes Being able to demonstrate that publication of plans and assessments is part of a joined-up communications strategy and part of your organisation's warning and informing work 	NYCCG SRG (and its local A&E Delivery Board partners) will utilise existing communications systems (including social media where useful to do so) to support routine comms (including general media), escalation advice, required actions and updates (following surge or incident) and "stand down" confirmation/actions plus liaison and agreeing a single approach with our SRG and A&E Delivery Board partner organisations. Formalisation of this process and inclusion in CCG Business Continuity Plan and Incident Response Plan is completed. NY CCG has a general enquires pathway in place and process/protocol for logging and responding to information requests from the public (including FOI requests).	Fully compliant				
38	Warning and informing	Warning and informing	The organisation has processes for warning and informing the public (patients, visitors and wider population) and staff during major incidents, critical incidents or business continuity incidents.	Y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Have emergency communications response arrangements in place Be able to demonstrate consideration of target audience when publishing materials (including staff, public and other agencies) Communicating with the public to encourage and empower the community to help themselves in an emergency in a way which compliments the response of responders Using lessons identified from previous major incidents to inform the development of future incident response communications Setting up protocols with the media for warning and informing 	NY CCG has in place an urgent/emergency communications cascade and response system in place for all its staff. The CCG has existing and established communication options for communicating directly with the public and other agencies (social media, general media, MPs, Councillors, voluntary organisations, NHS/LA partners etc..) to enable the CCG to offer advice and support to its community during an escalated or emergency situation which will assist the wider response to any such incident. Any lessons learned or identified from previous incidents or exercises undertaken by NY CCG or shared by other organisations (through the North Yorkshire LHRP or HRW SRG) are included in our future planning in order to inform and help the development of future incident response communications.	Fully compliant				
39	Warning and informing	Media strategy	The organisation has a media strategy to enable rapid and structured communication with the public (patients, visitors and wider population) and staff. This includes identification of and access to a media spokesperson able to represent the organisation to the media at all times.	Y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Have emergency communications response arrangements in place Using lessons identified from previous major incidents to inform the development of future incident response communications Setting up protocols with the media for warning and informing Having an agreed media strategy 	NY CCG has Governing Body members who are trained in handling and dealing with the media, representing the organisation in this regard as and when required (24/7 coverage available if required through the CCG "on call" rota). The CCG has a media strategy in place which supports our pro-active and reactive media communication strategy depending on the particular circumstance. Any lessons learned or identified from previous incidents or exercises undertaken by the CCG or shared by other organisations (through the North Yorkshire LHRP or HRW SRG) are included in our future planning in order to inform and help the development of future incident response communications	Fully compliant				
42	Cooperation	Mutual aid arrangements	<p>The organisation has agreed mutual aid arrangements in place outlining the process for requesting, coordinating and maintaining mutual aid resources. These arrangements may include staff, equipment, services and supplies.</p> <p>These arrangements may be formal and should include the process for requesting Military Aid to Civil Authorities (MACA) via NHS England.</p>	Y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Detailed documentation on the process for requesting, receiving and managing mutual aid requests Signed mutual aid agreements where appropriate 	NY CCG's primary role is in delivering / responding / facilitating mutual aid requests is through assisting and supporting partner organisations in the response and recovery phases across NY (or more widely as required), facilitated and supported through our established escalation and communications routes. This would be formalised as appropriate and proportionate to the emerging situation with partner organisations including all NY GP practices. Locality based escalation/meeting and briefing structures are in place with all partner organisations across our SRG and A&E Delivery Board footprints. Specific communications details are included in our Incident Response Plan and Business Continuity Plan. NY CCG would also be in a position to consider providing support to an ongoing incident through sharing our workforce, senior managers and loggists.	Fully compliant				
46	Cooperation	Information sharing	The organisation has an agreed protocol(s) for sharing appropriate information with stakeholders, during major incidents, critical incidents or business continuity incidents.	Y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Documented and signed information sharing protocol Evidence relevant guidance has been considered, e.g. Freedom of Information Act 2000, General Data Protection Regulation and the Civil Contingencies Act 2004 'duty to communicate with the public'. 	Information sharing processes and pathways between the CCG and its partner organisations (within the A&E Delivery Board and NY SRG footprints) are in place informally but no signed information sharing protocol currently exists.	Partially compliant	Information sharing protocol/policy to be developed			
47	Business Continuity	BC policy statement	The organisation has in place a policy which includes a statement of intent to undertake business continuity. This includes the commitment to a Business Continuity Management System (BCMS) in alignment to the ISO standard 22301.	Y	Demonstrable a statement of intent outlining that they will undertake BC - Policy Statement	The CCG has in place a Business Continuity Plan (BCP) which is reviewed annually, has been approved by the CCG's Audit Governance Committee and ratified by the CCG's Governing Body. The BCP was is due to be tested in October 2021 through a table top exercise. Our BCP has been audited by Yorkshire Audit and received "substantial" assurance.	Fully compliant				

Ref	Domain	Standard	Detail	Clinical Commissioning Group	Evidence - examples listed below	Organisational Evidence	Self assessment RAG			Action to be taken	Lead	Timescale	Comments
							Red (not compliant) = Not compliant with the core standard. The organisation's EPRR work programme shows compliance will not be reached within the next 12 months.	Amber (partially compliant) = Not compliant with core standard. However, the organisation's EPRR work programme demonstrates sufficient evidence of progress and an action plan to achieve full compliance within the next 12 months.	Green (fully compliant) = Fully compliant with core standard.				
48	Business Continuity	BCMS scope and objectives	The organisation has established the scope and objectives of the BCMS in relation to the organisation, specifying the risk management process and how this will be documented.	Y	<p>BCMS should detail:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scope e.g. key products and services within the scope and exclusions from the scope • Objectives of the system • The requirement to undertake BC e.g. Statutory, Regulatory and contractual duties • Specific roles within the BCMS including responsibilities, competencies and authorities. • The risk management processes for the organisation i.e. how risk will be assessed and documented (e.g. Risk Register), the acceptable level of risk and risk review and monitoring process • Resource requirements • Communications strategy with all staff to ensure they are aware of their roles • Stakeholders 	The CCG's Business Continuity Plan (BCP) specifies the objectives of the BCP, the main risks identified to our business continuity and their specific mitigations. Identified within the BCP are the CCG's roles and responsibilities in respect of our contractual and statutory duties and the key roles and responsibilities of specific individuals in securing their continued operation and delivery of these duties. Any material risks are recorded on the CCG's corporate risk register and the likelihood/impact scores and planned mitigations are routinely and regularly reviewed. These risks are assessed and agreed by the CCG's executive. Any "red" risks are reviewed and assessed more frequently. All staff have been briefed on the content of the BCP and their likely roles within such a plan should this be required. Our BCP has also been audited by Yorkshire Audit and received "substantial" assurance.	Fully compliant						
50	Business Continuity	Data Protection and Security Toolkit	Organisation's Information Technology department certify that they are compliant with the Data Protection and Security Toolkit on an annual basis.	Y	Statement of compliance	Statement of compliance has been received from North of England Commissioning Support who provides the CCG IT Services	Fully compliant						
51	Business Continuity	Business Continuity Plans	The organisation has established business continuity plans for the management of incidents. Detailing how it will respond, recover and manage its services during disruptions to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • people • information and data • premises • suppliers and contractors • IT and infrastructure 	Y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Documented evidence that as a minimum the BCP checklist is covered by the various plans of the organisation 	The CCG's Business Continuity Plan (BCP) specifies the objectives of the BCP, the main risks identified to our business continuity and their specific mitigations. Identified within the BCP are the CCG's roles and responsibilities in respect of our contractual and statutory duties and the key roles and responsibilities of specific individuals in securing their continued operation and delivery of these duties. Any material risks are recorded on the CCG's corporate risk register and the likelihood/impact scores and planned mitigations are routinely and regularly reviewed. These risks are assessed and agreed by the CCG's executive. Any "red" risks are reviewed and assessed more frequently. All staff have been briefed on the content of the BCP and their likely roles within such a plan should this be required. Our BCP has also been audited by Yorkshire Audit and received "substantial" assurance.	Fully compliant						
53	Business Continuity	BC audit	The organisation has a process for internal audit, and outcomes are included in the report to the board.	Y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EPRR policy document or stand alone Business continuity policy • Board papers • Audit reports 	NY CCG has a process for internal audit and outcomes are reported to Audit and Governance Committee and Governing Body	Fully compliant						
54	Business Continuity	BCMS continuous improvement process	There is a process in place to assess the effectiveness of the BCMS and take corrective action to ensure continual improvement to the BCMS.	Y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EPRR policy document or stand alone Business continuity policy • Board papers • Action plans 	Actions required by the CCG in order to improve our compliance against the current EPRR standards are included in the EPRR annual briefing and report to the CCG Governing Body. Assurance is provided on the necessary actions and related timescales for completion included in the action tracker.	Fully compliant						
55	Business Continuity	Assurance of commissioned providers / suppliers BCPS	The organisation has in place a system to assess the business continuity plans of commissioned providers or suppliers; and are assured that these providers business continuity arrangements work with their own.	Y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • EPRR policy document or stand alone Business continuity policy • Provider/supplier assurance framework • Provider/supplier business continuity arrangements 	NY CCG through it's membership of the North Yorkshire LHRP is sighted on the EPRR self assement levels for all partner organisations. NY CCG through it's leadership and oversight of the SRG partner organisations also maintains a risk register where any such BCP risks for partner organisations are recorded and scored for likelihood and impact. These risks and their associated scores are reviewed and reassessed on a monthly basis.	Fully compliant						

Ref	Domain	Standard	Detail	NHS Ambulance Service Providers	Organisational Evidence	Self assessment RAG			Action to be taken	Lead	Timescale	Comments
						Red (non compliant) = Not compliant with the core standard. The organisation's EPRR work programme shows compliance will not be reached within the next 12 months.	Amber (partially compliant) = Not compliant with core standard. However, the organisation's EPRR work programme demonstrates sufficient evidence of progress and an action plan to achieve full compliance within the next 12 months.	Green (fully compliant) = Fully compliant with core standard.				
HART												
Domain: Capability												
H1	HART	HART tactical capabilities	Organisations must maintain the following HART tactical capabilities: • Hazardous Materials • Chemical, Biological Radiological, Nuclear, Explosives (CBRNe) • Marauding Terrorist Firearms Attack • Safe Working at Height • Confined Space • Unstable Terrain • Water Operations • Support to Security Operations	Y								
H2	HART	National Capability Matrices for HART	Organisations must maintain HART tactical capabilities to the interoperable standards specified in the National Capability Matrices for HART.	Y								
H3	HART	Compliance with National Standard Operating Procedures	Organisations must ensure that HART units and their personnel remain compliant with the National Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) during local and national deployments.	Y								
Domain: Human Resources												
H4	HART	Staff competence	Organisations must ensure that operational HART personnel maintain the minimum levels of competence defined in the National Training Information Sheets for HART.	Y								
H5	HART	Protected training hours	Organisations must ensure that all operational HART personnel are provided with no less than 37.5 hours of protected training time every seven weeks. If designated training staff are used to augment the live HART team, they must receive the equivalent protected training hours within the seven week period i.e. training hours can be converted to live hours providing they are rescheduled as protected training hours within the seven-week period.	Y								
H6	HART	Training records	Organisations must ensure that comprehensive training records are maintained for all HART personnel in their establishment. These records must include: • mandated training completed • date completed • any outstanding training or training due • indication of the individual's level of competence across the HART skill sets • any restrictions in practice and corresponding action plans	Y								
H7	HART	Registration as Paramedics	All operational HART personnel must be professionally registered Paramedics.	Y								
H8	HART	Six operational HART staff on duty	Organisations must maintain a minimum of six operational HART staff on duty, per unit, at all times.	Y								
H9	HART	Completion of Physical Competency Assessment	All HART applicants must pass an initial Physical Competency Assessment (PCA) to the nationally specified standard.	Y								
H10	HART	Mandatory six month completion of Physical Competency Assessment	All operational HART staff must undertake an ongoing physical competency assessment (PCA) to the nationally specified standard every 6 months. Failure to achieve the required standard during these assessments must result in the individual being placed on restricted practice until they achieve the required standard.	Y								
H11	HART	Returned to duty Physical Competency Assessment	Any operational HART personnel returning to work after a period exceeding one month (where they have not been engaged in HART operational activity) must undertake an ongoing physical competency assessment (PCA) to the nationally specified standard. Failure to achieve the required standard during these assessments must result in the individual being placed on restricted practice until they achieve the required standard.	Y								
H12	HART	Commander competence	Organisations must ensure their Commanders (Tactical and Operational) are sufficiently competent to manage and deploy HART resources at any live incident.	Y								
Domain: Administration												
H13	HART	Effective deployment policy	Organisations maintain a local policy or procedure to ensure the effective prioritisation and deployment (or redeployment) of HART staff to an incident requiring the HART capabilities.	Y								
H14	HART	Identification appropriate incidents / patients	Organisations maintain an effective process to identify incidents or patients that may benefit from the deployment of HART capabilities at the point of receiving an emergency call.	Y								
H15	HART	Notification of changes to capability delivery	In any event that the provider is unable to maintain the HART capabilities safely or if a decision is taken locally to reconfigure HART to support wider Ambulance operations, the provider must notify the NARU On-Call Duty Officer as soon as possible (and within 24 hours). Written notification of any default of these standards must also be provided to their Lead Commissioner within 14 days and NARU must be copied into any such correspondence.	Y								
H16	HART	Recording resource levels	Organisations must record HART resource levels and deployments on the nationally specified system.	Y								
H17	HART	Record of compliance with response time standards	Organisations must maintain accurate records of their level of compliance with the HART response time standards. This must include an internal system to monitor and record the relevant response times for every HART deployment. These records must be collated into a report and made available to Lead Commissioners, external regulators and NHS England / NARU on request.	Y								
H18	HART	Local risk assessments	Organisations must maintain a set of local HART risk assessments which compliment the national HART risk assessments. These must cover specific local training venues or activity and pre-identified local high-risk sites. The provider must also ensure there is a local process to regulate how HART staff conduct a joint dynamic hazards assessment (JDHA) or a dynamic risk assessment at any live deployment. This should be consistent with the JESIP approach to risk assessment.	Y								
H19	HART	Lessons identified reporting	Organisations must have a robust and timely process to report any lessons identified following a HART deployment or training activity that may affect the interoperable service to NARU within 12 weeks using a nationally approved lessons database.	Y								
H20	HART	Safety reporting	Organisations have a robust and timely process to report to NARU any safety risks related to equipment, training or operational practice which may have an impact on the national interoperability of the HART service as soon as is practicable and no later than 7 days of the risk being identified.	Y								
H21	HART	Receipt and confirmation of safety notifications	Organisations have a process to acknowledge and respond appropriately to any national safety notifications issued for HART by NARU within 7 days.	Y								
H22	HART	Change Request Process	Organisations must use the NARU coordinated Change Request Process before reconfiguring (or changing) any HART procedures, equipment or training that has been specified as nationally interoperable.	Y								
Domain: Response time standards												

Ref	Domain	Standard	Detail	NHS Ambulance Service Providers	Organisational Evidence	Self assessment RAG Red (non compliant) = Not compliant with the core standard. The organisation's EPRR work programme shows compliance will not be reached within the next 12 months. Amber (partially compliant) = Not compliant with core standard. However, the organisation's EPRR work programme demonstrates sufficient evidence of progress and an action plan to achieve full compliance within the next 12 months. Green (fully compliant) = Fully compliant with core standard.	Action to be taken	Lead	Timescale	Comments
V10	MassCas	Casualty Clearing Station arrangements	Trusts must maintain a capability to establish and appropriately resource a Casualty Clearing Station at the location in which patients can receive further assessment, stabilisation and preparation on onward transportation.	Y						
V11	MassCas	Management of non-NHS resource	Trust plans must include provisions to access, coordinate and, where necessary, manage the following additional resources: • Patient Transportation Services • Private Providers of Patient Transport Services • Voluntary Ambulance Service Providers	Y						
V12	MassCas	Management of secondary patient transfers	Trusts must have arrangements in place to support some secondary patient transfers from Acute Trusts including patients with Level 2 and 3 care requirements.	Y						
Command and control										
Domain: General										
C1	C2	Consistency with NHS England EPRR Framework	NHS Ambulance command and control must remain consistent with the NHS England EPRR Framework and wider NHS command and control arrangements.	Y						
C2	C2	Consistency with Standards for NHS Ambulance Service Command and Control.	NHS Ambulance command and control must be conducted in a manner commensurate to the legal and professional obligations set out in the Standards for NHS Ambulance Service Command and Control.	Y						
C3	C2	NARU notification process	NHS Ambulance Trusts must notify the NARU On-Call Officer of any critical or major incidents active within their area that require the establishment of a full command structure to manage the incident. Notification should be made within the first 30 minutes of the incident whether additional resources are needed or not. In the event of a national emergency or where mutual aid is required by the NHS Ambulance Service, the National Ambulance Coordination Centre (NACC) may be established. Once established, NHS Ambulance Strategic Commanders must ensure that their command and control processes have an effective interface with the NACC and that clear lines of communication are maintained.	Y						
C4	C2	AEO governance and responsibility	The Accountable Emergency Officer in each NHS Ambulance Service provider is responsible for ensuring that the provisions of the Command and Control Standards and Guidance including these standards are appropriately maintained. NHS Ambulance Trust Boards are required to provide annual assurance against these standards.	Y						
Domain: Human resource										
C5	C2	Command role availability	NHS Ambulance Service providers must ensure that the command roles defined as part of the 'chain of command' structure in the Standards for NHS Ambulance Service Command and Control (Schedule 2) are maintained and available at all times within their service area.	Y						
C6	C2	Support role availability	NHS Ambulance Service providers must ensure that there is sufficient resource in place to provide each command role (Strategic, Tactical and Operational) with the dedicated support roles set out in the standards at all times.	Y						
C7	C2	Recruitment and selection criteria	NHS Ambulance Service providers must ensure there is an appropriate recruitment and selection criteria for personnel fulfilling command roles (including command support roles) that promotes and maintains the levels of credibility and competence defined in these standards. No personnel should have command and control roles defined within their job descriptions without a recruitment and selection criteria that specifically assesses the skills required to discharge those command functions (i.e. the National Occupational Standards for Ambulance Command).	Y						
C8	C2	Contractual responsibilities of command functions	This standard does not apply to the Functional Command Roles assigned to available personnel at a major incident. Personnel expected to discharge Strategic, Tactical, and Operational command functions must have those responsibilities defined within their contract of employment.	Y						
C9	C2	Access to PPE	The NHS Ambulance Service provider must ensure that each Commander and each of the support functions have access to personal protective equipment and logistics necessary to discharge their role and function.	Y						
C10	C2	Suitable communication systems	The NHS Ambulance Service provider must have suitable communication systems (and associated technology) to support its command and control functions. As a minimum this must support the secure exchange of voice and data between each layer of command with resilience and redundancy built in.	Y						
Domain: Decision making										
C11	C2	Risk management	NHS Ambulance Commanders must manage risk in accordance with the method prescribed in the National Ambulance Service Command and Control Guidance published by NARU.	Y						
C12	C2	Use of JESIP JDM	NHS Ambulance Commanders at the Operational and Tactical level must use the JESIP Joint Decision Model (JDM) and apply JESIP principles during emergencies where a joint command structure is established.	Y						
C13	C2	Command decisions	NHS Ambulance Command decisions at all three levels must be made within the context of the legal and professional obligations set out in the Command and Control Standards and the National Ambulance Service Command and Control Guidance published by NARU.	Y						
Domain: Record keeping										
C14	C2	Retaining records	C14: All decision logs and records which are directly connected to a major or complex emergency must be securely stored and retained by the Ambulance Service for a minimum of 25 years.	Y						
C15	C2	Decision logging	C15: Each Commander (Strategic, Tactical and Operational) must have access to an appropriate system of logging their decisions which conforms to national best practice.	Y						
C16	C2	Access to logist	C16: The Strategic, Tactical and Operational Commanders must each be supported by a trained and competent loggist. A minimum of three loggist must be available to provide that support in each NHS Ambulance Service at all times. It is accepted that there may be more than one Operational Commander for multi-sited incidents. The minimum is three loggists but the Trust should have plans in place for logs to be kept by a non-trained loggist should the need arise.	Y						
Domain: Lessons identified										
C17	C2	Lessons identified	The NHS Ambulance Service provider must ensure it maintains an appropriate system for identifying, recording, learning and sharing lessons from complex or protracted incidents in accordance with the wider EPRR core standards.	Y						
Domain: Competence										

Ref	Domain	Standard	Detail	Evidence - examples listed below	Acute Providers	Mental Health Providers	Community Service Providers	Organisational Evidence	Self assessment RAG Red (not compliant) = Not compliant with the core standard. The organisation's work programme shows compliance will not be reached within the next 12 months. Amber (partially compliant) = Not compliant with core standard. However, the organisation's work programme demonstrates sufficient evidence of progress and an action plan to achieve full compliance within the next 12 months. Green (fully compliant) = Fully compliant with core standard.	Action to be taken	Lead	Timescale	Comments
Deep Dive - Oxygen Supply													
Domain: Oxygen Supply													
DD1	Oxygen Supply	Medical gasses - governance	The organisation has in place an effective Medical Gas Committee as described in Health Technical Memorandum HTM02-01 Part B.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Committee meets annually as a minimum - Committee has signed off terms of reference - Minutes of Committee meetings are maintained - Actions from the Committee are managed effectively - Committee reports progress and any issues to the Chief Executive - Committee develops and maintains organisational policies and procedures - Committee develops site resilience/contingency plans with related standard operating procedures (SOPs) - Committee escalates risk onto the organisational risk register and Board Assurance Framework where appropriate - The Committee receives Authorising Engineer's annual report and prepares an action plan to address issues, there being evidence that this is reported to the organisation's Board 	Y	If applicable	If applicable						
DD2	Oxygen Supply	Medical gasses - planning	The organisation has robust and tested Business Continuity and/or Disaster Recovery plans for medical gasses	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The organisation has reviewed and updated the plans and are they available for view - The organisation has assessed its maximum anticipated flow rate using the national toolkit - The organisation has documented plans (agreed with suppliers) to achieve rectification of identified shortfalls in infrastructure capacity requirements. - The organisation has documented a pipework survey that provides assurance of oxygen supply capacity in designated wards across the site - The organisation has clear plans for where oxygen cylinders are used and this has been discussed and there should be an agreement with the supplier to know the location and distribution so they can advise on storage and risk, on delivery times and numbers of cylinders and any escalation procedure in the event of an emergency (e.g. understand if there is a maximum limit to the number of cylinders the supplier has available) - Standard Operating Procedures exist and are available for staff regarding the use, storage and operation of cylinders that meet safety and security policies - The organisation has breaching points available to support access for additional equipment as required - The organisation has a developed plan for ward level education and training on good housekeeping practices - The organisation has available a comprehensive needs assessment to identify training and education requirements for safe management of medical gasses 	Y	If applicable	If applicable						
DD3	Oxygen Supply	Medical gasses - planning	The organisation has used Appendix H to the HTM 0201 part A to support the planning, installing, upgrading of its cryogenic liquid supply system.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The organisation has clear guidance that includes delivery frequency for medical gasses that identifies key requirements for safe and secure deliveries - The organisation has policy to support consistent calculation for medical gas consumption to support supply mechanisms - The organisation has a policy for the maintenance of pipework and systems that includes regular checking for leaks and having de-icing regimes - Organisation has utilised the checklist retrospectively as part of an assurance or audit process 	Y	If applicable	If applicable						
DD4	Oxygen Supply	Medical gasses -workforce	The organisation has reviewed the skills and competencies of identified roles within the HTM and has assurance of resilience for these functions.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Job descriptions/person specifications are available to cover each identified role - Rotating of staff to ensure staff leave/ shift patterns are planned around availability of key personnel e.g. ensuring QC (MGPS) availability for commissioning upgrade work. - Education and training packages are available for all identified roles and attendance is monitored on compliance to training requirements - Medical gas training forms part of the induction package for all staff. 	Y	If applicable	If applicable						
DD5	Oxygen Supply	Oxygen systems - escalation	The organisation has a clear escalation plan and processes for management of surge in oxygen demand	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - SOPs exist, and have been reviewed and updated, for 'stand up' of weekly/ daily multi-disciplinary oxygen rounds - Staff are informed and aware of the requirements for increasing de-icing of vaporisers - SOPs are available for the 'good housekeeping' practices identified during the pandemic surge and include, for example, Medical Director sign off for the use of HFNO 	Y	If applicable	If applicable						
DD6	Oxygen Supply	Oxygen systems	Organisation has an accurate and up to date technical file on its oxygen supply system with the relevant instruction for use (IFU)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reviewed and updated instructions for use (IFU), where required as part of Authorising Engineer's annual verification and report 	Y	If applicable	If applicable						
DD7	Oxygen Supply	Oxygen systems	The organisation has undertaken as risk assessment in the development of the medical oxygen installation to produce a safe and practical design and ensure that a safe supply of oxygen is available for patient use at all times as described in Health Technical Memorandum HTM02-01 6.6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Organisation has a risk assessment as per section 6.6 of the HTM 02-01 - Organisation has undertaken an annual review of the risk assessment as per section 6.134 of the HTM 02-01 (please indicated in the organisational evidence column the date of your last review) 	Y	If applicable	If applicable						